1-Bit Matrix Completion

Mark A. Davenport

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Georgia Institute of Technology

Yaniv Plan

Mary Wootters

Ewout van den Berg

Matrix Completion



- When is it possible to recover the original matrix?
- How can we do this efficiently?
- How many samples will we need?

Low-Rank Matrices



Singular value decomposition:

$$M = U\Sigma V^* \quad \Rightarrow \quad \approx dr \ll d^2$$

degrees of freedom

Collaborative Filtering

The "Netflix Problem"

$M_{i,j} =$ how much user i likes movie j

Rank 1 model:

$u_i =$ how much user i likes romantic movies

$v_j =$ amount of romance in movie j

$M_{i,j} = u_i v_j$

Rank 2 model:

$w_i =$ how much user i likes zombie movies

$x_j =$ amount of zombies in movie j

$M_{i,j} = u_i v_j + w_i x_j$


LOVE MEANS NEVER HAVING TO SAY YOU'RE UNDEAD
WARM BODIES
PG-13 PARENTS STRONGLY CAUTIONED
SOME MATERIAL MAY BE INAPPROPRIATE FOR CHILDREN UNDER 13
ZOMBIE VIOLENCE AND SOME LANGUAGE
FEBRUARY 1 #WARMBODIES

Beyond Netflix

- Recovery of incomplete survey data
- Analysis of voting data
- Sensor localization
- Student response data
- Quantum state tomography
- …

Low-Rank Matrix Recovery

Given:

- a $d \times d$ matrix M of rank r
- samples of M on the set : $Y = M$

How can we recover M?

$$\widehat{M} = \underset{X : X \quad = Y}{\arg\inf} \ \operatorname{rank}(X)$$

Can we replace this with something computationally feasible?

Nuclear Norm Minimization

Convex relaxation!

Replace $\operatorname{rank}(X)$ with $\|X\|_* = \sum_{j=1}^{d} |\sigma_j|$

$$\widehat{M} = \underset{X : X \quad = Y}{\arg\inf} \|X\|_*$$

If $|\quad| = O(r\,d\,\log d)$, under certain assumptions, this procedure can recover M !

Matrix Completion in Practice

- Noise

$$Y = (M + Z)$$

- ***Quantization***
  - Netflix: Ratings are integers between 1 and 5
  - Survey responses: True/False, Yes/No, Agree/Disagree
  - Voting data: Yea/Nay
  - Quantum state tomography: Binary outcomes

Extreme quantization *destroys low-rank structure*

What's the Problem?

amazon Prime

Mark's Amazon.com | Today's Deals | Gift Cards | Sell | Help

Shop by Department ▾ Search Books ▾

Books Advanced Search New Releases Best Sellers The New York Times® Best Sellers Children's Books Textbooks Sell You

Customer Reviews

Linear Operator Theory in Engineering and Science (Applied Mathematical Sciences)

4 Reviews

5 star: (4)
4 star: (0)
3 star: (0)
2 star: (0)
1 star: (0)

Average Customer Review

★★★★★ (4 customer reviews)

Share your thoughts with other customers

Create your own review



★★★★★ **i would give 6 stars!**, February 26, 2012

By [redacted] See all my reviews

Amazon Verified Purchase (What's this?)

This review is from: Linear Operator Theory in Engineering and Science (Applied Mathematical Sciences) (Paperback)

I'm doing a PhD in econometrics and I need to apply operator theories in constructing a linear or nonlinear operator to help explain individual economic behaviour. This book contains numerous useful ideas and applications with exercises thoroughly designed; one of the questions in the exercise gave me an idea of creating a matrix for describing a nonlinear operator. That question asks for a matrix that describes a second order differential operator and that gave me an idea that taylor series approximation can be used to linearise a nonlinear operator and hence a nonlinear operator may also be described by a matrix.

Help other customers find the most helpful reviews

Was this review helpful to you? Yes No

Report abuse | Permalink

Comment

1-Bit Matrix Completion

Extreme case

$$Y = \operatorname{sign}(M\ )$$

Claim: Recovering M from Y is impossible!

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

No matter how many samples we obtain, all we can learn is whether $\lambda > 0$ or $\lambda < 0$

Is There Any Hope?

If we consider a noisy version of the problem, recovery becomes feasible!

$$Y = \text{sign}(M \ + Z \ )$$

$$M + Z = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + Z_{1,1} & \lambda + Z_{1,2} & \lambda + Z_{1,3} & \lambda + Z_{1,4} \\ \lambda + Z_{2,1} & \lambda + Z_{2,2} & \lambda + Z_{2,3} & \lambda + Z_{2,4} \\ \lambda + Z_{3,1} & \lambda + Z_{3,2} & \lambda + Z_{3,3} & \lambda + Z_{3,4} \\ \lambda + Z_{4,1} & \lambda + Z_{4,2} & \lambda + Z_{4,3} & \lambda + Z_{4,4} \end{bmatrix}$$

Fraction of positive/negative observations tells us something about λ

Example of the power of *dithering*

Observation Model

For $(i,j) \in$ we observe

$$Y_{i,j} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{with probability } f(M_{i,j}) \\ -1 & \text{with probability } 1 - f(M_{i,j}) \end{cases}$$

If f behaves like a CDF, then this is equivalent to

$$Y_{i,j} = \text{sign}(M_{i,j} + Z_{i,j})$$

where $Z_{i,j}$ is drawn according to a suitable distribution

We will assume that is drawn uniformly at random

Examples

- Logistic regression / Logistic noise

$$f(x) = \frac{e^x}{1 + e^x}$$

$$Z_{i,j} \sim \text{logistic distribution}$$

- Probit regression / Gaussian noise

$$f(x) = \Phi(x/\sigma)$$

$$Z_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Log-likelihood function:

$$F(X) = \sum_{(i,j)\in \Omega_+} \log(f(X_{i,j})) + \sum_{(i,j)\in \Omega_-} \log(1 - f(X_{i,j}))$$

$$\widehat{M} = \arg\max_X F(X)$$
$$\text{s.t. } \operatorname{rank}(X) \le r$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Log-likelihood function:

$$F(X) = \sum_{(i,j)\in \Omega_+} \log(f(X_{i,j})) + \sum_{(i,j)\in \Omega_-} \log(1 - f(X_{i,j}))$$

$$\widehat{M} = \arg\max_X F(X)$$
$$\text{s.t. } \frac{1}{d\alpha}\|X\|_* \leq \sqrt{r}$$
$$\|X\|_\infty \leq \alpha$$

Recovery of the Matrix

***Theorem** (Upper bound achieved by convex ML estimator)*

Assume that $\frac{1}{d\alpha}\|M\|_* \leq \sqrt{r}$ and $\|M\|_\infty \leq \alpha$. If is chosen at random with $\mathbb{E}|\ \ | = m > d \log d$, then with high probability

$$\frac{1}{d^2}\|\widehat{M} - M\|_F^2 \leq C\alpha L_\alpha \beta_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{rd}{m}}$$

where

$$L_\alpha := \sup_{|x|\leq\alpha} \frac{|f'(x)|}{f(x)(1-f(x))} \qquad \beta_\alpha := \sup_{|x|\leq\alpha} \frac{f(x)(1-f(x))}{(f'(x))^2}$$

Is this bound tight?

Recovery of the Matrix

Theorem *(Upper bound achieved by convex ML estimator)*

Assume that $\frac{1}{d\alpha}\|M\|_* \leq \sqrt{r}$ and $\|M\|_\infty \leq \alpha$. If is chosen at random with $\mathbb{E}|\ \ | = m > d\log d$, then with high probability

$$\frac{1}{d^2}\|\widehat{M} - M\|_F^2 \leq C\alpha L_\alpha \beta_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{rd}{m}}$$

Theorem *(Lower bound on any estimator)*

There exist M satisfying the assumptions above such that for any set with $|\ \ | = m$, we have (under mild technical assumptions) that

$$\inf_{\widehat{M}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{d^2}\|\widehat{M} - M\|_F^2\right] \geq c\alpha\sqrt{\beta_{\frac{3}{4}\alpha}}\sqrt{\frac{rd}{m}}$$

Logistic Model

$$L_\alpha = 1 \qquad \beta_\alpha \approx e^\alpha$$

Theorem *(Upper bound achieved by convex ML estimator)*

$$\frac{1}{d^2}\|\widehat{M} - M\|_F^2 \leq C\alpha e^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{rd}{m}}$$

Theorem *(Lower bound on any estimator)*

$$\inf_{\widehat{M}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{d^2}\|\widehat{M} - M\|_F^2\right] \geq c\alpha e^{\frac{3}{8}\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{rd}{m}}$$

Probit Model

$$L_\alpha \approx \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\sigma}+1}{\sigma} \qquad \beta_\alpha \approx \sigma^2 e^{\alpha^2/2\sigma^2}$$

Theorem *(Upper bound achieved by convex ML estimator)*

$$\frac{1}{d^2}\|\widehat{M}-M\|_F^2 \leq C\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sigma}+1\right)e^{\alpha^2/2\sigma^2}\sigma\alpha\sqrt{\frac{rd}{m}}$$

Two regimes

- High signal-to-noise ratio: $\sigma \leq \alpha$
- Low signal-to-noise ratio: $\sigma \geq \alpha$

Compare to how well we can estimate M from unquantized, noisy measurements

Probit Model (High SNR)

Theorem *(Upper bound achieved by convex ML estimator)*

$$\frac{1}{d^2}\|\widehat{M} - M\|_F^2 \leq C\alpha^2 e^{\alpha^2/2\sigma^2}\sqrt{\frac{rd}{m}}$$

Theorem *(Lower bound on any estimator with unquantized measurements)*

$$\inf_{\widehat{M}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{d^2}\|\widehat{M} - M\|_F^2\right] \geq c\alpha\sigma\sqrt{\frac{rd}{m}}$$

Probit Model (Low SNR)

Theorem *(Upper bound achieved by convex ML estimator)*

$$\frac{1}{d^2}\|\widehat{M} - M\|_F^2 \leq C\alpha\sigma\sqrt{\frac{rd}{m}}$$

Theorem *(Lower bound on any estimator with unquantized measurements)*

$$\inf_{\widehat{M}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{d^2}\|\widehat{M} - M\|_F^2\right] \geq c\alpha\sigma\sqrt{\frac{rd}{m}}$$

More noise can lead to *improved* performance!

Recovery of the Distribution

- It is also possible to establish bounds concerning the recovery of the distribution $f(M)$, i.e., the matrix where each entry gives us the probability of observing +1 when we sample that entry

- We obtain matching upper and lower bounds on the average Hellinger distance between $f(M)$ and $f(\widehat{M})$

- When $\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} L_\alpha < \infty$, we can recover the distribution $f(M)$ *without any assumptions on* $\|M\|_\infty$
  - logistic model
  - *not* probit model
  - any model where the noise has heavy tails

Tiny Sketch of Proof of Upper Bound

Recall that we maximize the log-likelihood $F(X)$

- For a fixed matrix X, $\mathbb{E}[F(M) - F(X)] = c \cdot D(f(X)||f(M))$
- Lemma: Let $K = \{X : \frac{1}{d\alpha}\|X\|_* \leq \sqrt{r}\}$. With high probability, $\sup_{X \in K} |F(X) - \mathbb{E}F(X)| \leq \delta$
- By definition, $F(\widehat{M}) \geq F(M)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq F(M) - F(\widehat{M}) \\
&\geq \mathbb{E}\left[F(M) - F(\widehat{M})\right] - 2\delta \\
&= c \cdot D(f(\widehat{M})||f(M)) - 2\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus, $D(f(\widehat{M})||f(M)) \leq \frac{2}{c}\delta$

Implementation

$$\underset{x}{\text{minimize}} \; f(x) \quad \text{subject to} \quad x \in \mathcal{C}$$

- $f(x)$ is smooth, convex
- $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed, convex set

- Nonmonotone spectral projected-gradient (SPG) algorithm

- Iterative algorithm, each iteration requires computation of
  - $f(x)$
  - $\nabla f(x)$

Synthetic Simulations

$d = 500 \qquad m = .15d^2$

$$\frac{\|\widehat{M} - M\|_F}{\|M\|_F}$$



$r = 5$

$r = 3$

$r = 2$

$\log_{10} \sigma$

MovieLens Data Set

- 100,000 movie ratings on a scale from 1 to 5
- Convert to binary outcomes by comparing each rating to the average rating in the data set
- Evaluate by checking if we predict the correct sign
- Training on 95,000 ratings and testing on remainder
  - "standard" matrix completion: 60% accuracy

1: 64%	2: 56%	3: 44%	4: 65%	5: 74%

  - 1-bit matrix completion: 73% accuracy

1: 79%	2: 73%	3: 58%	4: 75%	5: 89%

Conclusions

- 1-bit matrix completion is hard!
- What did you really expect?
- Sometimes 1-bit is all we can get…
- We have algorithms that are near optimal
- Open questions
  - Are there simpler/better/faster/stronger algorithms?
  - What about 2.32-bit matrix completion?

Thank You!