

# Design of a Novel High-efficiency UHF RFID Antenna on Flexible LCP Substrate with High Read-Range Capability

S. Serkan Basat\*, Swapan Bhattacharya, Li Yang, Amin Rida, Manos M. Tentzeris, Joy Laskar

School of ECE, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0250, USA

Email: [gte309k@mail.gatech.edu](mailto:gte309k@mail.gatech.edu)

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, the design and development of a unique high read-range high-efficiency (95%) Radio Frequency IDentification (RFID) antenna for the 915 MHz UHF band is discussed. The RFID exceptional characteristics are investigated in terms of antenna-IC matching and radiation efficiency. This 915 MHz passive tag is a 3" x 3" omnidirectional tag and yielded a read range of 31 feet compared to a 4" x 4" leading commercial design of 26 feet tested range in lab. This tag also possesses higher read power range (-7dBm to 30 dBm) than the leading commercial design (-5dBm to 30 dBm). The proposed RFID antenna was fabricated on 50.8 micron thick Liquid Crystal Polymer (LCP) and the read range of the proposed RFID tags was experimentally verified.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The demand for flexible antennas with higher efficiency and more compact size has increased in the recent years mainly due to the requirements for a higher and higher read range performance of the increasingly used RFID tags and their almost ubiquitous presence in the industry in security-related applications.

The passive UHF RFID tags see the widest use in supply-chain and retail applications. One of the biggest advantages of passive UHF tags over the higher frequency tags (i.e. 2.45 GHz RFID tags) is that they have a range, in many environments, of over ten feet (and sometimes as much as tens of feet). Additionally, RFID readers can scan hundreds of UHF tags simultaneously, whereas the lower frequency tags (VLF, LF, and HF bands), already suffering from limited read range (~1-2 feet), can handle about 10% of that scanning capacity with a lower data transfer rate.

The proposed 915 MHz RFID tag employs far-field coupling of the real power contained in free-space propagating electromagnetic plane waves due to its shorter wavelength than, for example, the 13.56 MHz HF tags, where the inductive coupling of the transponder tag operates in the near-field as the wavelength is much longer. The IE3D and HFSS design tools are used to perform a system-level optimization of the tag, as well as to design and come up with certain antenna performance parameters such as directivity, radiation pattern, and efficiency.

## II. ANTENNA STRUCTURE AND DESIGN APPROACH

The RFID antenna structure is shown in Fig. 1. The single dipole antenna is comprised of a resistive shorting stub with length  $j$  and width  $i$ , a double inductive stub, and a radiating body. The 250-bit read/write chip is mounted on the 4 ports, namely  $RF1$ ,  $RF2$ ,  $Vdd$ , and  $Vss$  at the feeding point as presented in Fig. 1.  $RF1$  and  $RF2$  ports are the RF signal terminals.  $Vdd$  is the open port to measure the IC bias voltage and  $Vss$  is the ground port. The chip is designed to be operational with both single and dual dipole

antennas. The RF signal ports *RF1* and *RF2* are needed to be shorted to deliver the information to the charge pump in the IC with the same phase. Time delay of the same signal at the two RF ports leads to loss of information. For the single dipole antenna, *RF2* port is grounded so that signal-ground (S-G) type of excitation can be created at the feeding point.

It is crucial to achieve high radiation efficiency for high read range since most commercial RFID antennas suffer from low efficiencies (~50-60%) [1]. In order to accomplish maximum directivity and optimum radiation, the design is built to achieve half-wavelength ( $\lambda_r/2 \sim 16$  cm in air @ 915 MHz) resonance at first. This was taken about to be the maximum length when the dipole antenna is stretched from one end to the other. The tapered design is proposed to obtain a smoother transition from the connecting *RF1* and *RF2* pads of the IC at the interface to the single dipole antenna to reduce reflections as much as possible. Another benefit from this tapering is used to maintain the high-efficiency when the antenna is embedded in a dielectric material such as LCP, although LCP's dielectric constant (~3) is close to the free space.

The overall matching network is designed to conjugately match a chip impedance of 73-j113 for maximum power delivery. The resistive shorting stub and the double inductive stub make up the overall matching network to match to the chip input impedance. The shorting stub mainly controls the resistive matching and the double inductive stub controls the reactive matching. The double inductive stub structure is composed of two inductive stubs to provide symmetry on both sides of the RFID tag [2].

In Fig. 2 the fabricated 18  $\mu\text{m}$  thick copper antenna on flexible, low-cost, and easily manufacturable LCP ( $\epsilon_r = 3.16$ ,  $\tan\delta = 0.00192$ ) with 50.8  $\mu\text{m}$  thickness is shown. The antenna can be used for sensor applications. For this reason, the antenna is also designed to accommodate space for other surface components such as a sensor module and a battery with minimum interference to the overall antenna performance.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The RFID antenna performance parameters are displayed in Table 1 below. The calculated return loss [3] values at 915 MHz based on the 73-j113  $\Omega$  chip impedance for the simulated and measured antennas are -36.7 dB and -31.7 dB respectively. One major factor for the high efficiency is because of the way the current flow is directed as

Input Impedance (Simulated)	Input Impedance (Measured)	Directivity	Efficiency	Measured Read Range in Lab
59.7+j96.4 $\Omega$	49 + j106 $\Omega$	2.18 dBi	95 %	31 feet (9.45 m)

Table 1. RFID antenna performance parameters and measured read range.

presented in Fig. 2. Since the direction of current flow in the top and bottom parts of the antenna always add up constructively for far-field radiation, the radiation efficiency is maximized. The 5% loss in efficiency is mainly due to the amount of radiation loss in the matching network. The RFID tag was also tested for read/write power levels. The read power range was from -7 dBm to 30 dBm and the pattern generator was able to write 250-bit user data to the memory of the chip for power levels above 2 dBm. The length  $j$  of the antenna's shorting stub was reduced to half of the original length to observe the performance difference. The simulated input impedance of the antenna becomes 44+j100.1  $\Omega$ . The resistance drops dramatically (higher return loss); meanwhile, the inductance stays almost the same as expected. When this tag was tested, power levels

were from -5 to 29 dBm for reading and 3 dBm for writing. The read range was measured to be close to 30 feet (9.14 m). This shows the effect of power transmission loss between the antenna and the matching network. More power is needed to write on the chip because of this loss in the matching network. Although the efficiency stays the same (95%) compared to the original antenna in Table 1, read range is decreased due to the lower real part of the radiated power. The input impedance resistance goes down from  $59.7 \Omega$  to  $44 \Omega$  which translates as lower resistive power transfer at the antenna+IC interface.

The input impedance of the simulated antenna design is shown in Fig. 3. As it can be observed from the plot, the phase angle between resistance and inductance of the antenna input impedance ( $Z_{\text{ant}} = 59.7 + j96.4$ ) is lower around 915 MHz compared to inductively coupled feed [4] matching networks. Antennas designed using inductively coupled feed structures yield high phase angles (i.e.  $Z_{\text{ant}} = 6.2 + j127$ ). As explained in the paper [4], at resonance the resistive part of the input impedance of the antenna  $R_a$  depends only on the mutual coupling  $M$ ; meanwhile, the inductance  $X_a$  is dependent on the inductive loop inductance  $L_{\text{loop}}$ .  $R_a$  is actually not only dependent on the  $M$  but also on the antenna resistance  $R_{rb}$ . High  $R_{rb}$  causes low input impedance resistance. Since the antenna shape defines  $R_{rb}$ , low  $R_{rb}$  might not be achieved with some designs such as the one presented in [4]. For this reason, a matching network that is composed of a shorting stub to control input impedance resistance  $R_a$  and a double inductive stub to control inductance  $X_a$  is proposed in this paper.

In Fig. 4 the 2-D and 3-D radiation plots are shown. The 3-D radiation pattern of the antenna is doughnut-shaped as expected for the general radiation pattern for a half-wavelength dipole. The 2-D polar plot shows the radiation in the two different planar cuts for the x-z plane ( $\varphi=0$  deg) and the y-z plane ( $\varphi=90$  deg) with angle  $\theta$  that varies from 0 to 360 degrees. The pattern is almost omnidirectional with two nulls in the whole 360 degree coverage area that add up to be less than 15 degrees. This pattern mimics the radiation pattern of a half-wavelength dipole antenna as it is a tapered dipole antenna. The nulls lay horizontal to the x-y plane where the RFID tag is least expected to transmit/receive information from the reader.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

Maximum read range can be achieved when the dipole RFID antenna is half-wavelength resonant and has direction of current flow that adds up constructively. The tag size also plays a major role in determining the read range: The larger the tag, the larger the energy capture area, therefore the longer the read range. One major difficulty in RFID tag design is designing the matching network since the chips come with either high or low input impedance phase angles. (i.e. Philips EPC 1.19 ASIC  $Z_c=16-j350$ , NSC MM9647  $Z_c=73-j113$ ). These three factors must be taken into account to design optimum performing RFID tags.

#### V. REFERENCES

- [1] C. Cho, Hosung Choo, and Ikmo Park, "Design of UHF Small Passive Tag Antennas," *IEEE Antennas and Propag. Society Inter. Symp.*, 2005, vol 2B, pp. 349-352
- [2] David M. Pozar, "Microwave Engineering Third Edition," *John Wiley and Sons Inc.*, 2005, pp.228-235

- [3] P.V. Nikitin, K.V.S. Rao, S.F. Lam, V Pillai, R. Martinez, and H. Heinrich, "Power Reflection Coefficient Analysis for Complex Impedances in RFID Tag Design," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, 2005, vol. 53, issue 9, pp. 2721-2725
- [4] H.-W. Son and C.-S. Pyo, "Design of RFID tag antennas using an inductively coupled feed," *Electronic Letters*, 2005, vol. 41, no. 18, pp. 994 – 996




Figure 1. RFID Antenna structure and double inductive stub matching network




Figure 2. Fabricated RFID antenna and single dipole antenna direction of current flow




Figure 3. Input impedance of the simulated RFID antenna




Figure 4. 3-D and 2-D far-field radiation plots.